strains, but the improved performance in the present study may also be a result of the development of the Vitek 2 database. Identification of *C. guilliermondii* and *Candida lusitaniae* was problematic, both in the study by Graf *et al.* [8] and in the present study, whereas all *C. glabrata* isolates were identified without difficulty. This is in contrast to the study by Massonet *et al.* [9], in which most difficulties were encountered with *C. glabrata*. The reason for this discrepancy is unknown. As in the study by Graf *et al.* [8], the present database was unable to separate *Candida inconspicua* and *Candida norvegensis*.

Conventional identification methods are still considered to be the reference standard for the identification of yeast isolates, but are laborious and time-consuming, and are suited better to research than to clinical laboratories. The Vitek 2 system identifies most clinically important *Candida* spp. reliably within 15 h, and appears to be an excellent alternative identification method for clinical laboratories performing fungal diagnostics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The technical assistance of S. Laitinen is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Hobson RP. The global epidemiology of invasive Candida infections—is the tide turning? J Hosp Infect 2003; 55: 159– 168.
- Eggimann P, Garbino J, Pittet D. Epidemiology of Candida species infections in critically ill non-immunosuppressed patients. Lancet Infect Dis 2003; 3: 685–702.
- Colombo AL, Perfect J, DiNubile M et al. Global distribution and outcomes for Candida species causing invasive candidiasis: results from an international randomized double-blind study of caspofungin versus amphotericin B for the treatment of invasive candidiasis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2003; 22: 470–474.
- 4. Moosa MY, Sobel JD. Non-albicans Candida infections in patients with hematologic malignancies. Semin Respir Infect 2002: 17: 91–98
- Singh N. Changing spectrum of invasive candidiasis and its therapeutic implications. Clin Microbiol Infect 2001; 7(suppl 2): 1–7.
- Viscoli C, Girmenia C, Marinus A et al. Candidemia in cancer patients: a prospective, multicenter surveillance study by the Invasive Fungal Infection Group (IFIG) of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC). Clin Infect Dis 1999; 28: 1071–1079.
- Barnett JA, Payne RW, Yarrow D. Yeasts, characteristics and identification, 3rd edn. London: Cambridge University Press, 2000.

- Graf B, Adam T, Zill E, Gobel UB. Evaluation of the VITEK 2 system for rapid identification of yeasts and yeast-like organisms. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38: 1782–1785.
- Massonet C, Van Eldere J, Vaneechoutte M. Comparison of VITEK 2 with ITS2-fragment length polymorphism analysis for identification of yeast species. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004; 42: 2209–2211.

RESEARCH NOTE

A new variant of Brucella melitensis

N. E. Lucero¹, S. M. Ayala¹, G. I. Escobar¹, M. Grayon² and I. Jacques^{2,3}

¹Brucellosis Laboratory, Administración Nacional de Laboratorios e Institutos de Salud Dr C.G. Malbrán (ANLIS), Buenos Aires, Argentina, ²UR918 – Unité de Pathologie Infectieuse et Immunologie, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Nouzilly, France, and ³Institut Universitaire de Technologie, Tours, France

ABSTRACT

Brucella melitensis is highly pathogenic and constitutes a serious risk to public health. In Argentina, biovar 1 has been isolated from infected animals, but the Rev.1 strain vaccine is not authorised for use. This report describes nine atypical *B. melitensis* isolates obtained from humans. These isolates grew slowly, produced small colonies and were susceptible to penicillin and dyes, similar to the *B. melitensis* Rev.1 vaccine strain, but were inhibited by streptomycin 2.5 mg/L. The isolation of such atypical *B. melitensis* variants has never been reported from animals in Argentina, and could indicate the emergence of a new mutant variant.

Keywords *Brucella melitensis*, identification, phenotypic characteristics, Rev.1 vaccine, variant

Corresponding author and reprint requests: N. E. Lucero, Brucellosis Laboratory, Administración Nacional de Laboratorios e Institutos de Salud Dr C.G. Malbrán (ANLIS), Avda. Velez Sarsfield 563, 1281 Buenos Aires, Argentina E-mail: nidia@elsitio.net Original Submission: 2 September 2005; Revised Submission: 11 October 2005; Accepted: 17 October 2005

Clin Microbiol Infect 2006; 12: 593–596 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2006.01386.x

Although the organisms constituting the genus Brucella are highly homogeneous, the genus is classified currently into six nomen species: Brucella abortus, Brucella suis, Brucella melitensis, Brucella canis, Brucella ovis and Brucella neotomae [1–3]. This taxonomic scheme correlates somewhat with the preferential natural host, although the first three species can also infect other animals [4]. Differences in virulence have been observed, and the apparent rank virulence order shown in guinea-pigs seems to be similar to that in humans: i.e., B. melitensis >> B. suis >> B. abortus [5]. Each species is subdivided into biovars on the basis of cultural, biochemical and serological differences, but the three biovars of B. melitensis are distinguished solely by their immunochemical reactions with monospecific anti-lipopolysaccharide (LPS) A and M-determinant sera [6]. Isolation of atypical B. melitensis variants in Israel, and of dyesensitive strains in various countries, has been reported, indicating that differences are not limited to the agglutination pattern [7,8].

The Argentine National Human Brucellosis Network (NHBN) at the ANLIS Dr C.G. Malbrán (Buenos Aires, Argentina) studied 118 B. melitensis isolates from humans during the period 1994– 2004. The isolates were obtained from clinical laboratories in Argentine provinces, and were sent to the NHBN headquarters for characterisation. The present study reports the isolation and typing of nine B. melitensis isolates with atypical phenotypic characteristics that could indicate the emergence of a new mutant variant. All nine atypical isolates were differentiated from other Gramnegative organisms on the basis of morphology, motility, lactose fermentation on MacConkey agar, acid production on agar containing glucose, haemolysis on blood agar, catalase, oxidase and urease (Christensen method) reactions, and nitrate and citrate reduction. Rough/smooth phase variation was observed using obliquely reflected light, suspending a colony in acriflavine, and by staining colonies with crystal violet [6].

After the isolates were identified as members of the genus *Brucella*, their species identification and

biovar were established according to the recommendations of the International Committee on Bacterial Nomenclature (ICBN), Subcommittee on Taxonomy of the Genus Brucella [2]. Serum and CO₂ requirements, H₂S production, and growth in the presence of thionin (20 mg/L), basic fuschin (20 mg/L), safranin O (100 mg/L), erithrytol (1 g/L), penicillin (5000 IU/mL) and streptomycin (2.5 mg/L) added to Brucella Agar (BBL Microbiology Systems, Cockeysville, MD, USA) were determined. Growth patterns on thionin blue and malachite green (2 mg/L) were investigated, both with and without the presence of CO₂ 5% v/v. Urease tests (Bauer's method) and agglutination with polyclonal monospecific anti-A, -M and -R antisera were performed [6]. Susceptibility to Brucella phages was determined using Tb, R/C, Wb and Iz phages at 1 and 10⁴ routine test dilution (RTD) [9]. Reference strains B. abortus 544-2, B. suis 1330, B. melitensis biovar 1 16 M, biovar 2 63/9 and biovar 3 Ether, and B. melitensis Rev.1, were included in each test as controls. Finally, PCR-RFLP (restriction fragment length polymorphism) analysis was performed by digesting the amplified omp25 gene with EcoRV, and the amplified *rpsl* gene with *Nci*I [10,11].

Brucellosis is not a sustainable disease in humans, and the source of infection always resides in domestic or wild animals, or their derived products. However, new Brucella strains and species may emerge as those existing already adapt to social and agricultural changes [12–14]. In Argentina, the sheep population (c. 15 million) is concentrated mainly in the south and north-east of the country, while goats (c. 4 million) are located mostly in the north-west. Surveys revealed a 0.5–0.8% prevalence of caprine brucellosis in the north-western provinces, with the isolation of B. melitensis biovar 1 from infected goats, although the use of the B. melitensis Rev.1 vaccine has not been authorised. Ovine brucellosis caused by *B. ovis* was found in regions where sheep are located, but *B. melitensis* has only been isolated from a few sheep [15].

Of the 118 *B. melitensis* isolates from humans, 107 (90.67%) belonged to biovar 1, two (1.69%) belonged to biovar 3, and nine (7.6%) were atypical. Isolate 874 was characterised in 1986 at the Pan American Zoonosis Center (PAHO/WHO) as atypical *B. melitensis* biovar 1 (Table 1). To check its stability, a suspension containing 10⁸ CFU/mL was injected into two guinea-pigs and

Table 1. Clinical and epidemiological data for the nine atypical Brucella melitensis isolates

Strain	Argentina province	Source	Year isolated	Gender	Age (years)	Main symptoms	Epidemiology		
874	Jujuy	Blood × 2	1986	M	ND	ND	ND		
290 ^a	Jujuy	Bone-marrow × 1	1996	F	7	Fever, pancytopenia, arthralgias, weigh loss	Ingestion of goat cheese		
429	Córdoba	Blood \times 2	2000	M	ND	ND	ND		
489	Catamarca	Blood \times 2	2000	F	5	Fever, arthralgias, hepatosplenomegaly	Ingestion of goat cheese		
497	S. del Estero	Blood \times 3	2000	M	18	Fever, weight loss	Rural worker		
544	Jujuy	Bone-marrow \times 1	2002	F	27	Fever, weight loss, asthenia	Bolivian farmer		
582	Salta	Blood \times 2	2002	ND	ND	Hepatosplenomegaly	ND		
605	Tucumán	Blood \times 3	2002	M	8	ND	Goat handling		
712	Jujuy	Blood \times 2	2003	M	30	Fever, weight loss, pancytopenia	Bolivian farmer		

^aIsolated from the same patient at three different times.

ND, no data

Table 2. Differential characteristics of the atypical *Brucella melitensis* isolates in comparison with species belonging to the genus *Brucella*^a

		nt H_2S production	Growth on media with							Agglu- tination in sera ^g		Lysis by phages ^h		
Strain	CO ₂ requirement		Thionin ^b	Basic Fuchsin ^b	Safranin O c	Streptomycin ^d	Penicilline	Ureasef	A	M	Tb	Wb	Iz	
290 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+/-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+/-)	
429 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(–)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+/-)	(+)	
489 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+/-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(–)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+/-)	
497 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(–)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+/-)	
544 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+/-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(–)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+/-)	(+)	
582 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+/-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(–)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+/-)	(+/-)	
605 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+/-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(–)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+/-)	(+/-)	
712 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+/-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(–)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+/-)	
874 IBS	(–)	(-)	(+/-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(–)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+/-)	(+/-)	
B. melitensis 16 Mi	(–)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+/-)	(+)	
B. melitensis 63/9i	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+/-)	(+)	
B. melitensis Ether ⁱ	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(+)	(-)	(+/-)	(+)	
B. suis 1330i	(-)	(+ +)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(+ +)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	
B. abortus 544i	(+)	(+/-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)	(+)	(+)	
B. melitensis Rev.1 ^j	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+/-)	(+)	

aResults obtained after incubation with 5% CO2; all the isolates grew with i-erythritol 1 mg/mL added to the basal medium.

b20 mg/L; c100 mg/L; d2.5 mg/L; e5000 IU/L in base medium.

^fBauer's method, all the *B. melitensis* isolates were positive on Christensen media

⁸A, A monospecific antiserum; M, M monospecific antiserum; No isolate was agglutinated by rough (R) *Brucella* antiserum.

^hWith routine test dilution (RTD), no isolate was lysed by R/C phage.

ⁱReference strains; ^jvaccine strain; IBS, isolated *Brucella* strain

(-) negative; (+/-) weakly positive; (+) positive; (++) strong positive.

was recovered after 6 weeks from their spleens. The isolates had the same phenotypical characteristics as the original strain, indicating that the isolate could be considered stable following animal passage.

All nine atypical isolates were smooth, grew slowly, produced small colonies and were susceptible to penicillin and dyes, similar to the Rev.1 vaccine strain, but were inhibited by streptomycin 2.5 mg/L (Table 2). A slight increase in the growth pattern on basic fuchsin was observed when CO₂ 5% v/v was present during incubation, and also when sterile equine serum 5% v/v was added to the basal medium. However, growth was inhibited by thionin blue and malachite green, even in the presence of CO₂. Of the nine atypical *B. melitensis* isolates, eight were

identified as biovar 1 and one as biovar 2, based on a difference in the quantitative distribution of the A and M antigens.

In Argentina, only *B. melitensis* biovar 1 has been isolated from animals, while two cases of infection with biovar 3 have been reported in humans [16] (IX Congreso de Argentino de Microbiología, Buenos Aires, 2001, abstract 220), probably because infected animals are diagnosed mainly by serological tests. The nine patients in the present study (Table 1) were hospitalised with fever and weight loss as the main symptoms. A girl (aged 7 years, isolate 290) relapsed 6 months after completing treatment and the same strain was isolated.

PCR-RFLP of the *omp25* gene with *EcoRV* showed that all nine isolates were true *B. meliten*-

sis. Susceptibility of *B. melitensis* to dyes and penicillin has been reported previously, as well as the finding that polymorphism of the *omp2* porin gene correlates with dye sensitivity [7,17,18].

This virulent atypical *B. melitensis* variant could not have originated from the vaccine Rev.1 strain (as determined by PCR-RFLP of the *rpsl* gene with *Nci*I), although some of its phenotypic characteristics resembled the vaccine strain. The variant could have been introduced into the country via an infected animal, since brucellosis can exist in a latent form for several years [19], or it could be a new adaptation variant of *B. melitensis*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful to D. B. Hasan for helpful assistance with the typing of the isolates, and to K. Nielsen for critically reading the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Verger JM, Grimont F, Grimont PAD, Grayon M. Brucella, a monospecific genus as shown by deoxyribonucleic acid hybridization. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1985; 35: 292–295.
- 2. Corbel MJ, Brinley-Morgan WJ. Genus *Brucella*, Meyer and Shaw 1920, 173 AL. In: Krieg NR, Holt JG, eds, *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology*, vol. 1. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1984; 377–388.
- 3. Moreno E, Cloeckaert A, Moriyon I. *Brucella* evolution and taxonomy. *Vet Microbiol* 2002; **90**: 209–227.
- Meyer ME. Current concepts in the taxonomy of the genus Brucella. In: Nielsen K, Duncan R, eds, *Animal brucellosis*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 1990; 1–13.
- Smith DS, Ficht TA. Pathogenesis of Brucella. Microbiology 1990; 17: 209–230.
- Alton GG, Jones LM, Angus RD, Verger JM. Bacteriological methods. In: *Techniques for the brucellosis laboratory*. Paris: Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, 1988; 13–61.

- 7. Banai M, Mayer I, Cohen A. Isolation, identification and characterization in Israel of *Brucella melitensis* biovar 1 atypical strains susceptible to dyes and penicillin indicating the evolution of a new variant. *J Clin Microbiol* 1990; 28: 1057–1059.
- 8. Corbel MJ. Identification of dye-sensitive strains of *Brucella melitensis*. *J Clin Microbiol* 1991; **29**: 1066–1068.
- Corbel MJ, Thomas EL. Use of phage for the identification of *Brucella canis* and *Brucella ovis* cultures. *Res Vet Sci* 1985; 35: 35–40.
- Cloeckaert A, Verger JM, Grayon M, Grépinet O. Restriction site polymorphism of the genes encoding the major 25 and 36 kDa outer-membrane proteins of *Brucella*. *Microbiology* 1995; 141: 2111–2121.
- Cloeckaert A, Grayon M, Grépinet O. Identification of Brucella melitensis vaccine strain Rev.1 by PCR-RFLP based on a mutation in the rpsL gene. Vaccine 2002; 20: 2546–2550.
- Verger JM, Grayon M, Cloeckaert A, Lefevre M, Ageron E, Grimont F. Classification of *Brucella* strains isolated from mammals using DNA-DNA hybridisation and ribotyping. *Res Microbiol* 2000; 151: 797–799.
- Cloeckaert A, Verger JM, Grayon M et al. Classification of Brucella spp. isolated from marine mammals by DNA polymorphism at the omp2 locus. Microb Infect 2001; 3: 729– 738
- 14. Godfroid J, Cloeckaert A, Liautard JP et al. From the discovery of the Malta fever's agent to the discovery of a marine mammal reservoir, brucellosis has continuously been a re-emerging zoonosis. Vet Res 2005; 36: 313–326.
- 15. Samartino L. Brucellosis in Argentina. *Vet Microbiol* 2002; **90**: 71–80.
- Lucero NE, Greco G, Carrete PA. Brucella melitensis biovar 3 in Argentina? Rev Argent Microbiol 1999; 31 (suppl): 58–59.
- Bardenstein S, Mandelboim M, Ficht TA, Baum M, Banai M. Identification of the *Brucella melitensis* vaccine Rev.1 in animals and humans in Israel by PCR analysis of the *PstI* site polymorphism of its *omp2* gene. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002; 40: 1475–1480.
- 18. Ficht TA, Bearden SW, Sowa BA, Marquis H. Genetic variation at the *omp2* porin locus of the brucellae: species-specific markers. *Mol Microbiol* 1990; 4: 1135–1142.
- Banai M. Control of small ruminant brucellosis by use of Brucella melitensis Rev.1 vaccine: laboratory aspects and field observations. Vet Microbiol 2002; 90: 497–519.